## Degrees of unsolvability in topological spaces with countable cs-networks

## Takayuki Kihara

#### Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, USA

Joint Work with

# Arno Pauly

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

Workshop on Mathematical Logic and its Application, Kyoto, Sep 17, 2016

#### Goal

Develop the theory of degrees of unsolvability in topological spaces (including spaces which are non-metrizable, not second-countable, etc.)

## Goal

Develop the theory of degrees of unsolvability in topological spaces (including spaces which are non-metrizable, not second-countable, etc.)

## - What is the motivation?

- In previous works [1,2], we utilized a generalization of the theory of degrees of unsolvability to give (partial/complete) solutions to preexisting open problems in other areas of mathematics.
- We are looking for more applications but currently, the theory itself is still far from complete. So many things are yet to be done, even in the very basic part.
- [1] V. Gregoriades, T. Kihara, and K. M. Ng, *Turing degrees in Polish spaces and decomposability of Borel functions*, submitted.
  - [2] T. Kihara, and A. Pauly, *Point degree spectra of represented spaces*, submitted.

#### Definition

- An  $(\omega^{\omega})$ -prepresentation of a set X is a partial surjection  $\delta :\subseteq \omega^{\omega} \to X$ .
- 2 A topological space X is admissibly represented if it has a universal continuous representation  $\delta$ , that is,

 $(\forall \text{ continuous } \rho : \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \to \chi)(\exists \text{ continuous } \nu : \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \to \omega^{\omega})$  such that  $\rho = \delta \circ \nu$ .

#### Definition

- An  $(\omega^{\omega})$ -prepresentation of a set X is a partial surjection  $\delta :\subseteq \omega^{\omega} \to X$ .
- A topological space X is admissibly represented if it has a universal continuous representation δ, that is,

 $(\forall \text{ continuous } \rho : \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \to X)(\exists \text{ continuous } \nu : \subseteq \omega^{\omega} \to \omega^{\omega})$  such that  $\rho = \delta \circ \nu$ .

Suppose that X is represented by  $\delta$ .

- If  $\delta(p) = x$ , then we think of p as a name of x.
- The complexity of **x** is identified with that of  $\delta^{-1}{x}$ .
- The degree of **x** is the *degree of difficulty of calling a name of* **x**.

### Degrees of difficulty of calling a name

 $(X, \delta_X), (\mathcal{Y}, \delta_{\mathcal{Y}})$ : represented spaces.

A point x ∈ X is (Turing) reducible to y ∈ Y (x ≤<sub>T</sub> y) if there is a partial computable function Φ :⊆ ω<sup>ω</sup> → ω<sup>ω</sup> s.t. (∀p) [p is a name of y ⇒ Φ(p) is a name of x].
deg(x) = {z : z ≡<sub>T</sub> x} is called the (Turing) degree of x.

## Degrees of difficulty of calling a name

 $(X, \delta_X), (\mathcal{Y}, \delta_{\mathcal{Y}})$ : represented spaces.

A point x ∈ X is (Turing) reducible to y ∈ 𝒴 (x ≤<sub>T</sub> y) if there is a partial computable function Φ :⊆ ω<sup>ω</sup> → ω<sup>ω</sup> s.t. (𝒴p) [p is a name of y ⇒ Φ(p) is a name of x].

**2** deg $(x) = \{z : z \equiv_T x\}$  is called the (Turing) degree of x.

#### Example of representation

Let (B<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n∈ω</sub> be an open basis of a space X. Then, each point x ∈ X is named by an enumeration p of its nbhd basis, that is,
δ(p) = x ⇔ range(p) = {n ∈ ω : x ∈ B<sub>n</sub>}.

• The degree of **x** is the enumeration degree of its nbhd basis.

A network for a space X is a collection N of subsets of X such that

 $(\forall x \in X)(\forall U \text{ open nbhd of } x)(\exists N \in N) x \in N \subseteq U.$ 

#### Example of representation (II)

Let  $(N_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be a network for a space X. Then, each point  $x \in X$  is named by an enumeration p of a local subnetwork at x, that is,

- $x \in N_{p(n)}$  for any  $n \in \omega$ ,
- $(\forall U \text{ open nbhd of } x)(\exists n) x \in N_{p(n)} \subseteq U.$

#### Fact (Schröder)

For a topological space X, the following are equivalent:

- X is admissibly represented.
- 2 X is a qcb<sub>0</sub> space.
- 3 X has a countable cs-network.
  - A space is *qcb*<sub>0</sub> if it is *T*<sub>0</sub>, and is a quotient of a countably based space.
  - (Michael 1966) A *cs-network* is a network N such that every convergent sequence converging to a point x ∈ U with U open, is eventually in N ⊆ U for some N ∈ N.

T <sub>0</sub>	enumeration degrees
<i>T</i> <sub>1</sub>	?
Hausdorff	?
$T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$	?
metrizable	continuous degrees
transfinite dimensional	Turing degrees

Table: Degrees of second-countable spaces

#### Basic idea of "generalized" degree theory

- Turing degrees are degrees of calling names of points of separable metrizable spaces having transfinite inductive dimension.
- Continuous degrees are degrees of calling names of points of separable metrizable spaces.
- Enumeration degrees are degrees of calling names of points of second-countable T<sub>0</sub> spaces.

To develop our theory, we first deal with the following toy problem:

**Toy Problem** 

Given m < n, does there exist a "*degree*" of a point of a  $T_m$ -space, which CANNOT be a degree of a point of a  $T_n$ -space?

# $T_3$ -degrees vs. $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -degrees.

- A space is *T*<sub>3</sub> if it is regular Hausdorff, that is, given any point and closed set are separated by nbhds.
- A space is **T**<sub>21</sub> if any two distinct points are separated by closed nbhds.

# $T_3$ -degrees vs. $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -degrees.

- A space is *T*<sub>3</sub> if it is regular Hausdorff, that is, given any point and closed set are separated by nbhds.
- A space is **T**<sub>21</sub> if any two distinct points are separated by closed nbhds.

#### Example

The Gandy-Harrington topology  $\tau_{GH}$  is the topology on  $\omega^{\omega}$  generated by all computably analytic (i.e., lightface  $\Sigma_{1}^{1}$ ) sets.

•  $(\omega^{\omega}, \tau_{GH})$  is second-countable,  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ , but not  $T_3$ .

# Theorem (3 vs. $2\frac{1}{2}$ )

Let **x** be a sufficiently complicated point in  $\omega^{\omega}$ . **deg(x)**: the degree of **x** w.r.t. the Gandy-Harrington topology.

- deg(x) is realized as the degree of a point in a  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  space.
- Output deg(x) cannot be realized as the degree of a point in a T<sub>3</sub> space.
- Indeed, deg(x) cannot be a degree of a point of a Hausdorff space having a countable closed cs-network.

## Remark

Regular  $\implies$  Having a countable closed cs-network.

The converse is not true, e.g., the sequential topology on the Kleene-Kreisel space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  has a countable closed cs-network, but not regular (Schröder).

# $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -degrees vs. $T_2$ -degrees.

- A space is  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  if any two distinct points are separated by closed nbhds.
- A space is **T**<sub>2</sub> if any two distinct points are separated by open nbhds.

# $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -degrees vs. $T_2$ -degrees.

- A space is  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  if any two distinct points are separated by closed nbhds.
- A space is **T**<sub>2</sub> if any two distinct points are separated by open nbhds.

#### Example

The relatively prime integer topology is the topology on the positive integers generated by  $\{U_b(a) : a \text{ and } b \text{ are relatively prime}\}$  where  $U_b(a) = \{a + bn : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

• This is second-countable, Hausdorff, but not T<sub>21</sub>.

Consider the countable product of the relatively prime integer topology:

Theorem  $(2\frac{1}{2} \text{ vs. } 2)$ 

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\omega}$  be sufficiently generic w.r.t. Baire topology. deg(x): the degree of x w.r.t. the product relatively prime topology

- **0** deg(x) is realized as the degree of a point in a  $T_2$  space.
- **2** deg(x) cannot be realized as the degree of a point in a  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  space.

Consider the countable product of the relatively prime integer topology:

# Theorem $(2\frac{1}{2} \text{ vs. } 2)$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\omega}$  be sufficiently generic w.r.t. Baire topology. deg(x): the degree of x w.r.t. the product relatively prime topology

- **O** deg(x) is realized as the degree of a point in a  $T_2$  space.
- **2** deg(x) cannot be realized as the degree of a point in a  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  space.

Moreover, even if we know a name of such an x, we cannot get any new information on names of points in a  $T_3$  space...

## (Medvedev 1955) A point x is quasi-minimal if

- it has no computable name, but
- it has no nontrivial information on names of points in  $\mathbf{2}^\omega$

# $x \not\leq_T \emptyset$ and $(\forall y \in 2^{\omega})[y \leq_T x \implies y \leq_T \emptyset].$

- 2 A point **x** is quasi-minimal w.r.t. **P** if
  - it has no computable name, but
  - it has no nontrivial information on names of points in  $\mathcal{P}$ -spaces

(Medvedev 1955) A point x is quasi-minimal if

- it has no computable name, but
- it has no nontrivial information on names of points in  $\mathbf{2}^\omega$

 $x \not\leq_T \emptyset$  and  $(\forall y \in 2^{\omega})[y \leq_T x \implies y \leq_T \emptyset].$ 

- 2 A point **x** is quasi-minimal w.r.t. **P** if
  - it has no computable name, but
  - it has no nontrivial information on names of points in  $\mathcal{P}$ -spaces

## Theorem (3 vs. 2 — the quasi-minimal version)

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\omega}$  be Cohen 1-generic w.r.t. Baire topology.

deg(x): the degree of x w.r.t. the product relatively prime topology

- **O** deg(x) is realized as the degree of a point in a  $T_2$  space.
- e deg(x) is quasi-minimal w.r.t. T<sub>2<sup>1</sup>/2</sub> spaces having countable closed cs-networks.

## $T_2$ -degrees vs. $T_1$ -degrees.

- A space is **T**<sub>2</sub> if the diagonal is closed.
- A space is **T**<sub>1</sub> if every singleton is closed.

### $T_2$ -degrees vs. $T_1$ -degrees.

- A space is **T**<sub>2</sub> if the diagonal is closed.
- A space is **T**<sub>1</sub> if every singleton is closed.

#### Example

The cocylinder topology is the topology on  $\omega^{\omega}$  generated by  $\{\omega^{\omega} \setminus [\sigma] : \sigma \in \omega^{<\omega}\}$ , where  $[\sigma] = \{x \in \omega^{\omega} : \sigma \prec x\}$ .

• This is second-countable, **T**<sub>1</sub>, but not Hausdorff.

## Theorem (2 vs. 1)

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \omega^{\omega}$  be sufficiently fast-growing as a function on  $\omega$ . deg( $\mathbf{x}$ ): the degree of  $\mathbf{x}$  w.r.t. the cocylinder topology.

- **0** deg(x) is realized as the degree of a point in a  $T_1$  space.
- Output deg(x) cannot be realized as the degree of a point in a T<sub>2</sub>-space.
- deg(x) is quasi-minimal w.r.t. T<sub>2</sub> spaces having countable closed cs-networks.

 $T_1$ -degrees vs.  $T_0$ -degrees.

Takayuki Kihara (Berkeley) and Arno Pauly (Bruxelles) Degrees in topological spaces with countable cs-networks

 $T_1$ -degrees vs.  $T_0$ -degrees.

#### Example

The lower topology is the topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by  $\{(q, \infty) : q \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$ 

• This is second-countable, **T**<sub>0</sub>, but not **T**<sub>1</sub>.

#### Theorem (1 vs. 0)

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$  be neither left- nor right-c.e.

deg(x): the degree of x w.r.t. the lower topology.

- **O** deg(x) is realized as the degree of a point in a  $T_0$  space.
- **2** deg(x) is quasi-minimal w.r.t.  $T_1$  spaces.

## [second-countable]-degrees vs. [non-second-countable]-degrees.

#### Remark

The category of admissibly represented sps. is cartesian closed. Thus, if X is admissibly represented, then so is the following space:

 $\mathcal{A}_1(X) = \{ f \in C(X, \mathbb{S}) : f^{-1}\{\bot\} \text{ is singleton} \},\$ 

where  $\mathbb{S} = \{\top, \bot\}$  is the Sierpiński space, whose open sets are  $\emptyset$ ,  $\{\top\}$ , and  $\{\top, \bot\}$ .

Roughly speaking,  $\mathcal{A}_1(X)$  is the space of closed singletons in X.

#### **Recursion-theoretic view**

The degree of difficulty of calling a name of a point  $\{x\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_1(X) \approx$  that of finding an oracle z making x be a  $\Pi^0_1(z)$  singleton.

One may think of  $\mathcal{A}_1(\omega^{\omega})$  as one of the easiest non-second-countable spaces.

We say that  $\mathbf{x} \in \omega^{\omega}$  is a *lost melody* if there is  $\mathbf{z} \in \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $\{\mathbf{x}\}$  is a  $\Pi_{1}^{0}(\mathbf{z})$  singleton (i.e.,  $\{\mathbf{x}\} \leq_{T} \mathbf{z}$ ), but  $\mathbf{x} \nleq_{T} \mathbf{z}'$ .

Theorem ([second-countable] vs. [non-second-countable])

Let  $x \in \omega^{\omega}$  be a lost melody s.t.  $\{x\}$  is not computable. deg( $\{x\}$ ): the degree of  $\{x\}$  as a point in  $\mathcal{A}_1(\omega^{\omega})$ . Then, deg( $\{x\}$ ) is quasi-minimal w.r.t. second-countable spaces.

#### More remarks on $\mathcal{A}_1(X)$

#### Proposition

- If X is Hausdorff,  $\{\{x\}\} \mapsto x : \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_1(X) \to X$  is continuous.
- **2** There is a  $T_1$  space X such that  $\{\{x\}\} \mapsto x : \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_1(X) \to X$  is not continuous (indeed, not Borel).

The degree of a complicated point in the Gandy-Harrington space cannot be a degree of a point of a Hausdorff space having a countable closed cs-network.

Recall: a point x in a space X with a countable cs-network N is named by an enumeration p of a local subnetwork at x, that is,

- $x \in N_{p(n)}$  for any  $n \in \omega$ ,
- $(\forall U \text{ open nbhd of } x)(\exists n) \ x \in N_{p(n)} \subseteq U.$

The degree of a complicated point in the Gandy-Harrington space cannot be a degree of a point of a Hausdorff space having a countable closed cs-network.

Recall: a point x in a space X with a countable cs-network N is named by an enumeration p of a local subnetwork at x, that is,

- $x \in N_{p(n)}$  for any  $n \in \omega$ ,
- $(\forall U \text{ open nbhd of } x)(\exists n) \ x \in N_{p(n)} \subseteq U.$

Consider another representation  $\bar{\delta}_N$  of X defined by  $\bar{\delta}_N(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{x}$  iff

- $x \in N_{p(n)}$  for any  $n \in \omega$ ,
- $(\forall U \text{ open nbhd of } x)(\exists n) x \in N_{p(n)} \subseteq U.$

## Proof of Theorem ( $3 \text{ vs. } 2\frac{1}{2}$ )

The degree of a complicated point in the Gandy-Harrington space cannot be a degree of a point of a Hausdorff space having a countable closed cs-network.

Regular  $\implies$  Having a countable closed cs-network.

#### Proposition

If X is a Hausdorff space having a countable closed cs-network N then id :  $(X, \overline{\delta}_N) \rightarrow (X, \delta_N)$  is continuous.

## Proof of Theorem ( $3 \text{ vs. } 2\frac{1}{2}$ )

The degree of a complicated point in the Gandy-Harrington space cannot be a degree of a point of a Hausdorff space having a countable closed cs-network.

Regular  $\implies$  Having a countable closed cs-network.

#### Proposition

If X is a Hausdorff space having a countable closed cs-network N then id :  $(X, \overline{\delta}_N) \rightarrow (X, \delta_N)$  is continuous.

#### Lemma

 $(X, \delta_N)$ : Hausdorff space having a countable cs-network. Let  $z \in (\omega^{\omega}, \tau_{GH})$  and  $x \in X$ . If a  $\delta_N$ -name of x is computable relative to a GH-name of z, then no GH-name of z is computable relative to a  $\overline{\delta}_N$ -name of x.

- $S_e$ : the *e*-th lightface  $\Sigma_1^1$  set.
- A GH-name of x is an enumeration of  $G_x = \{e : x \in S_e\}$ .

- $S_e$ : the *e*-th lightface  $\Sigma_1^1$  set.
- A GH-name of x is an enumeration of  $G_x = \{e : x \in S_e\}$ .
- Assume that  $x \leq_T z$  via  $\Psi$ , that is,
  - $(e, D) \in \Psi$  and  $D \subseteq G_x \Longrightarrow z \in N_e$ .
  - U open nbhd of  $z \Longrightarrow \exists (e, D) \in \Psi [D \subseteq G_x \text{ and } z \in N_e \subseteq U]$

- $S_e$ : the *e*-th lightface  $\Sigma_1^1$  set.
- A GH-name of x is an enumeration of  $G_x = \{e : x \in S_e\}$ .
- Assume that  $x \leq_T z$  via  $\Psi$ , that is,
  - $(e, D) \in \Psi$  and  $D \subseteq G_x \Longrightarrow z \in N_e$ .
  - U open nbhd of  $z \Longrightarrow \exists (e, D) \in \Psi [D \subseteq G_x \text{ and } z \in N_e \subseteq U]$
- $L = \{n : \forall (m, D) \in \Psi [D \subseteq G_x \implies N_m \cap N_n \neq \emptyset] \}.$
- If  $n \in L$  then  $z \in N_n$ .

- $S_e$ : the *e*-th lightface  $\Sigma_1^1$  set.
- A GH-name of x is an enumeration of  $G_x = \{e : x \in S_e\}$ .
- Assume that  $x \leq_T z$  via  $\Psi$ , that is,
  - $(e, D) \in \Psi$  and  $D \subseteq G_x \Longrightarrow z \in N_e$ .
  - U open nbhd of  $z \Longrightarrow \exists (e, D) \in \Psi [D \subseteq G_x \text{ and } z \in N_e \subseteq U]$
- $L = \{n : \forall (m, D) \in \Psi [D \subseteq G_x \implies N_m \cap N_n \neq \emptyset] \}.$
- If  $n \in L$  then  $z \in N_n$ .
- Suppose  $z \leq_T (x, \overline{\delta}_N)$  via an enumeration  $\Gamma$ :
  - $e \in G_x \iff (\exists D \text{ finite})[(e, D) \in \Gamma \text{ and } D \subseteq L].$

If a  $\delta_N$ -name of **x** is computable relative to a **GH**-name of **z**, then no **GH**-name of **z** is computable relative to a  $\overline{\delta}_N$ -name of **x**.

- $S_e$ : the *e*-th lightface  $\Sigma_1^1$  set.
- A GH-name of x is an enumeration of  $G_x = \{e : x \in S_e\}$ .
- Assume that  $x \leq_T z$  via  $\Psi$ , that is,
  - $(e, D) \in \Psi$  and  $D \subseteq G_x \Longrightarrow z \in N_e$ .
  - U open nbhd of  $z \Longrightarrow \exists (e, D) \in \Psi [D \subseteq G_x \text{ and } z \in N_e \subseteq U]$
- $L = \{n : \forall (m, D) \in \Psi [D \subseteq G_x \implies N_m \cap N_n \neq \emptyset] \}.$
- If  $n \in L$  then  $z \in N_n$ .
- Suppose  $z \leq_T (x, \overline{\delta}_N)$  via an enumeration  $\Gamma$ :

 $e \in G_x \iff (\exists D \text{ finite})[(e, D) \in \Gamma \text{ and } D \subseteq L].$ 

 Since L is Π<sup>1</sup><sub>1</sub>(x), this gives a Π<sup>1</sup><sub>1</sub>(x) definition of G<sub>x</sub>; however G<sub>x</sub> is clearly Σ<sup>1</sup><sub>1</sub>(x) complete, a contradiction.